Physical mechanisms of phonation onset: A linear stability analysis of an aeroelastic continuum model of phonation
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In an investigation of phonation onset, a linear stability analysis was performed on a two-dimensional, aeroelastic, continuum model of phonation. The model consisted of a vocal fold-shaped constriction situated in a rigid pipe coupled to a potential flow which separated at the superior edge of the vocal fold. The vocal fold constriction was modeled as a plane-strain linear elastic layer. The dominant eigenvalues and eigenmodes of the fluid-structure-interaction system were investigated as a function of glottal airflow. To investigate specific aerodynamic mechanisms of phonation onset, individual components of the glottal airflow (e.g., flow-induced stiffness, inertia, and damping) were systematically added to the driving force. The investigations suggested that flow-induced stiffness was the primary mechanism of phonation onset, involving the synchronization of two structural eigenmodes. Only under conditions of negligible structural damping and a restricted set of vocal fold geometries did flow-induced damping become the primary mechanism of phonation onset. However, for moderate to high structural damping and a more generalized set of vocal fold geometries, flow-induced stiffness remained the primary mechanism of phonation onset. © 2007 Acoustical Society of America.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Self-sustained oscillation, or flutter, is a general phenomenon which commonly occurs in coupled fluid-structure systems. Vocal fold vibration is one example of this phenomenon. Other examples can be found in biological systems (e.g., the flow-induced instabilities of the blood vessels in arterial stenoses, bronchial airway oscillations during snoring, see Grotberg and Jensen, 2004) and mechanical systems (e.g., airfoil flutter, see Dowell and Hall, 2001; brass instruments, Cullen et al., 2000). There have been extensive investigations on the interaction of external flows with compliant plates or membranes (e.g., Benjamin, 1960; Landahl, 1962; Benjamin, 1963; Pierucci, 1977; Carpenter and Garrad, 1985, 1986; Duncan, 1987; Yeo, 1988, 1992; Lucey and Carpenter, 1993; Yeo et al., 1994). Fluid-structure instabilities due to internal flows through compliant tubes have also been investigated (e.g., Holmes, 1977; Matsuzaki and Fung, 1977, 1979; Luo and Pedley, 1996; Huang, 2001). Although instabilities in coupled fluid-structure systems can originate from either the fluid or the structure alone, previous investigations have shown that flutter also arises from the coalescence of two eigenmodes (1:1 entrainment) to form a coupled-mode flutter. These two interacting eigenmodes could be either a flow mode coupled to a structural mode (e.g., the vortex-induced vibration, see Williamson and Govardhan, 2004), or two structural modes (e.g., Auregan and Depollier, 1995; Cullen et al., 2000).

During normal phonation, it is generally understood that vocal fold vibration involves the excitation of two or more eigenmodes of the vocal system. For example, early research with the one-mass model (Flanagan and Landgraf, 1968) showed that self-oscillation of the folds could not occur unless coupled with an acoustic resonance of the vocal tract. However, without a vocal tract, the two-mass model (Ishizaka and Flanagan, 1972) was able to vibrate through the synchronization of two structural eigenmodes, one with the two masses vibrating in phase with each other, and the other with the two masses vibrating out of phase with each other. The out-of-phase motion created an alternating divergent and convergent glottis, regulating the intraglottal air pressure. The in-phase motion opened and closed the glottal channel, modulating the airflow. The synchronization of empirical modes of vocal fold vibration has also been observed in both theoretical and laboratory models of phonation (Berry et al., 1994, 2001; Zhang et al., 2006).

Titze (1988) argued that the propagation of the mucosal wave, or the synchronization of two eigenmodes, facilitated self-oscillation by providing a sufficient, net transfer of energy from the airflow (fluid) to the tissue (structure) to overcome structural damping. However, the linear stability analysis completed by Titze (1988) did not compute the underlying eigenmodes of the two-dimensional vocal fold continuum, but assumed the eigenmodes a priori. Also, the temporal phase relationship between the two eigenmodes was imposed, rather than treated as a dynamic variable of the system. Thus, the mechanisms of phonation onset in terms of eigenmode synchronization and the role of glottal aerodynamics in the synchronization process could not be studied.

Using the two-mass model, Ishizaka and colleagues performed a linear stability analysis to investigate physical mechanisms of phonation onset (Ishizaka and Matsudaaira, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981, 1988). In these investigations, they
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modeled the aerodynamic driving force as a flow-induced stiffness term and showed that the two eigenfrequencies of the two-mass model approximated each other as the flow-induced stiffness was increased (e.g., see pp. 52, 53 of Ishizaka and Matsudaira, 1972; pp. 233, 238 of Ishizaka, 1981). At a threshold of the flow-induced stiffness or subglottal pressure, the two eigenfrequencies synchronized (1:1 entrainment), resulting in phonation onset. Although these studies provided new insight into physical mechanisms of phonation onset, a direct translation of these findings to practical applications has been problematic, perhaps in part because the key model parameters, such as masses and stiffnesses of the coupled oscillators, were immeasurable and difficult to relate to the anatomical structure of the vocal folds (Titze, 1988). Indeed, empirical measurement of the geometric and viscoelastic properties of vocal fold tissues may be more naturally and directly related to the physical properties of continuum models of vocal fold vibration (e.g., Titze et al., 1995; Deverge et al., 2003; Cook and Mongeau, 2007), as opposed to lumped-element models (e.g., Ishizaka and Flanagan, 1972; Steinecke and Herzel, 1995; Story and Titze, 1995; Horacek and Svec, 2002). Furthermore, several investigations have suggested that the eigenfrequency structure of a vocal fold continuum (Berry and Titze, 1996; Cook and Mongeau, 2007) may be much different from the eigenfrequency structure of a lumped-element model (Ishizaka and Matsudaira, 1972; Ishizaka and Flanagan, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981, 1988) resulting in distinct physical mechanisms of phonation onset (Zhang et al., 2006b).

The motivation of the present study is to investigate physical mechanisms of phonation onset in a two-dimensional aeroelastic continuum model of the vocal folds, similar to the investigations of Ishizaka and colleagues for the two-mass model (Ishizaka and Matsudaira, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981, 1988). Similar to Titze (1988), this investigation will apply linear stability analysis to a two-dimensional continuum model of the vocal folds. However, rather than prescribing traveling wave motion and assuming a fixed eigenmode entrainment a priori, the present study will utilize a self-oscillating continuum model to investigate the role of glottal aerodynamics in initiating phonation. Using linear plane-strain theory, the vocal fold tissues will be modeled as a two-dimensional continuum, which will be driven by a one-dimensional potential glottal flow with a fixed flow separation location. However, the potential flow utilized here will be more generalized than the one utilized by Ishizaka and colleagues (Ishizaka and Matsudaira, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981), including components not only for flow-induced stiffness, but also flow-induced damping, and flow-induced inertia. Furthermore, in order to investigate specific, aerodynamic mechanisms of phonation onset, the individual components of the glottal airflow will be systematically and separately added to the driving force. That is, initially, only flow-induced stiffness will be considered, as per previous investigations by Ishizaka and colleagues (Ishizaka and Matsudaira, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981, 1988). Next, flow-induced inertia will be added to the driving force, followed by flow-induced damping.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

We considered a one-dimensional potential flow through a constriction formed by two symmetric, two-dimensional, isotropic vocal fold-shaped elastic layers. For simplicity, left-right symmetry of the flow and vocal fold vibration about the glottal channel centerline so that only the left half of the system was considered in this study. $D$ is the vocal fold depth in the medial-lateral direction; $T=x_{sup}$ and $T_{inf}=z_{inf}$ are the thicknesses of the vocal fold in the superior ($x>0$) and inferior ($x<0$) sections in the flow direction, respectively; $H_0$ is the prephonatory glottal channel width; $g$ is the prephonatory glottal half width in the superior part ($x>0$) of the glottal channel; $z_{sup}$ and $z_{inf}$ are the vertical coordinates of the outlet and inlet of the glottal channel, respectively.

A. Structural model

The vocal folds were modeled as a two-dimensional, isotropic, plane-strain, elastic layer, as shown in Fig. 1. As mentioned above, only one vocal fold was considered. The lateral surface of the vocal fold was fixed to the pipe wall, while the other three sides were fluid-structure-interaction (FSI) boundaries. A linear stress-strain relationship was assumed for the vocal fold material. For a displacement field of the vocal fold structure $(\xi, \eta)$ (the $x$ and $z$ components of the vocal fold displacement, respectively), the corresponding strains and stresses are:

$$\varepsilon = \left[ \varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_z, \gamma_{xz} \right] = \left[ \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right],$$

$$\tau = \left[ \tau_x, \tau_z, \tau_{xz} \right] = G\varepsilon,$$

where the stress-stain material matrix $G$ is
The Lagrange’s equation can be obtained by substituting the above expressions into the generalized coordinates will be defined in Sec. II C. As the force acting on the vocal fold material, this contribution is balanced by the mean pressure on the vocal fold surface is always directed into the vocal fold structure. To solve the governing equations, an additional equation relating the pressure $p$ and the vocal fold displacement field [$\xi$, $\eta$] is needed, which will be derived in Sec. II B.

A proportional structural damping was assumed for the vocal fold material. Equation (6) can be modified to include structural damping

$$M\ddot{q} + C\dot{q} + Kq = Q,$$

where $C$ is the structural damping matrix. In this study, a constant loss factor $\sigma$ was used, which relates the mass and damping matrices as follows:

$$C = \sigma M,$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency.

B. Flow model

The following assumptions were made to simplify the flow through the glottis:

1. The flow was assumed to be a one-dimensional, incompressible, potential flow up to the point of flow separation.
2. The flow separation location was fixed at the superior edge of the vocal folds $z=z_{\text{sup}}$ (Fig. 1). The jet flow discharged into open space with zero pressure recovery $(P_{0, \text{sup}}=0)$.
3. The flow rate at the vocal fold inlet was constant.

In this study, the mean jet velocity at the flow separation point was used as the major model control parameter. For a given mean jet velocity $U_j$, the mean velocity distribution $U_0$ and mean pressure $P_0$ along the glottal channel were

$$U_0(z) = \frac{2gU_j}{H_0(z)^2}, \quad P_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_j U_j^2 \left(1 - \frac{4g^2}{H_0^2}\right),$$

where $\rho_j$ is the air density, $g$ is the mean glottal half width in the superior section ($z>0$) of the glottal channel (Fig. 1), and $H_0$ is the mean width of the glottal channel.

The governing equations for the fluctuating flow velocity $u$ and pressure $p$ were obtained by linearization of the one-dimensional continuity equation and Bernoulli’s equation around the mean state $(U_0, P_0, H_0)$ [see, e.g., Lighthill, 1978]

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (U_0h)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial (uh_0)}{\partial z} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + u \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0,$$

where $h$ is the fluctuating component of the glottal channel width. The fluctuating width of the glottal channel is related to the displacement of the vocal fold structure at the fluid-structure interface as

$$h = -2\xi_{\text{fsi}}.$$

The factor of 2 appears due to the left-right symmetry of the vocal fold vibration. The boundary conditions for the flow are, according to assumptions 2 and 3:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( n_x P + n_y \tau \right) = 0$$

and pressure $P$ at the fluid-structure interface is always directed into the vocal fold structure. To solve the governing equations, an additional equation relating the pressure $p$ and the vocal fold displacement field [$\xi$, $\eta$] is needed, which will be derived in Sec. II B.

A proportional structural damping was assumed for the vocal fold material. Equation (6) can be modified to include structural damping

$$M\ddot{q} + C\dot{q} + Kq = Q,$$

where $C$ is the structural damping matrix. In this study, a constant loss factor $\sigma$ was used, which relates the mass and damping matrices as follows:

$$C = \sigma M,$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency.

B. Flow model

The following assumptions were made to simplify the flow through the glottis:

1. The flow was assumed to be a one-dimensional, incompressible, potential flow up to the point of flow separation.
2. The flow separation location was fixed at the superior edge of the vocal folds $z=z_{\text{sup}}$ (Fig. 1). The jet flow discharged into open space with zero pressure recovery $(P_{0, \text{sup}}=0)$.
3. The flow rate at the vocal fold inlet was constant.

In this study, the mean jet velocity at the flow separation point was used as the major model control parameter. For a given mean jet velocity $U_j$, the mean velocity distribution $U_0$ and mean pressure $P_0$ along the glottal channel were

$$U_0(z) = \frac{2gU_j}{H_0(z)^2}, \quad P_0(z) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_j U_j^2 \left(1 - \frac{4g^2}{H_0^2}\right),$$

where $\rho_j$ is the air density, $g$ is the mean glottal half width in the superior section ($z>0$) of the glottal channel (Fig. 1), and $H_0$ is the mean width of the glottal channel.

The governing equations for the fluctuating flow velocity $u$ and pressure $p$ were obtained by linearization of the one-dimensional continuity equation and Bernoulli’s equation around the mean state $(U_0, P_0, H_0)$ [see, e.g., Lighthill, 1978]
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$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + u \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0,$$

where $h$ is the fluctuating component of the glottal channel width. The fluctuating width of the glottal channel is related to the displacement of the vocal fold structure at the fluid-structure interface as

$$h = -2\xi_{\text{fsi}}.$$

The factor of 2 appears due to the left-right symmetry of the vocal fold vibration. The boundary conditions for the flow are, according to assumptions 2 and 3:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( n_x P + n_y \tau \right) = 0$$

where $n_x$ and $n_y$ are the normal vectors to the fluid-structure interface, $\tau$ is the traction, and $P$ is the pressure on the fluid-structure interface. The minus sign in the equation ensures that the force applied by the flow pressure on the vocal fold surface is always directed into the vocal fold structure. To solve the governing equations, an additional equation relating the pressure $p$ and the vocal fold displacement field [$\xi$, $\eta$] is needed, which will be derived in Sec. II B.
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$$M\ddot{q} + C\dot{q} + Kq = Q,$$

where $C$ is the structural damping matrix. In this study, a constant loss factor $\sigma$ was used, which relates the mass and damping matrices as follows:

$$C = \sigma M,$$
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where $\rho_j$ is the air density, $g$ is the mean glottal half width in the superior section ($z>0$) of the glottal channel (Fig. 1), and $H_0$ is the mean width of the glottal channel.
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The factor of 2 appears due to the left-right symmetry of the vocal fold vibration. The boundary conditions for the flow are, according to assumptions 2 and 3:
where \( z_{\text{inf}} \) and \( z_{\text{sup}} \) are the vertical coordinates of the inlet and outlet of the glottal channel (Fig. 1). Other types of boundary conditions can be also used to model the coupling of the sub- and supraglottal acoustics and the vocal fold vibration. This will be discussed in future work.

The flow pressure within the glottal channel can be obtained by first integrating the linearized continuity Eq. (12), and then the linearized momentum Eq. (13) along the fluid-structure interface. After applying the boundary conditions in Eq. (15), this yields

\[
p(z, t) = \int_{z_{\text{sup}}}^{z_{\text{inf}}} \left[ \frac{1}{H_0} \int_{z_{\text{inf}}}^{z_{\text{sup}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} \right) h dz \right] dz = p_0(h) + p_1(h) + p_2(h) ,
\]

where

\[
p_0(h) = \int_{z_{\text{sup}}}^{z_{\text{inf}}} \left( U_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} \right) \frac{1}{H_0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} \right) h dz = \frac{U_0 h}{H_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} \right) h dz ,
\]

\[
p_1(h) = \int_{z_{\text{sup}}}^{z_{\text{inf}}} \left[ \frac{1}{H_0} \int_{z_{\text{inf}}}^{z_{\text{sup}}} \left( U_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial z} \right) h dz \right] dz ,
\]

\[
p_2(h) = \int_{z_{\text{sup}}}^{z_{\text{inf}}} \int_{z_{\text{inf}}}^{z_{\text{sup}}} h dz dz ,
\]

where the subscripts \( t \) and \( \tau \) denote first and second derivative with respect to time, respectively. The generalized force \( Q \) can be then obtained by substitution of Eq. (16) into Eq. (8), and regrouping in the same form as Eq. (16)

\[
Q = Q_0 \dot{q} + Q_1 \ddot{q} + Q_2 \dddot{q} ,
\]

where \( Q_0, Q_1, \) and \( Q_2 \) represent the flow-induced stiffness, flow-induced damping, and flow-induced inertia, respectively.

Equations (16) and (17) show that the fluctuating flow pressure on the FSI surface is composed of three parts, which represent the flow-induced stiffness, damping, and inertia, respectively. The first term, scaling with \( p_0 U_0^2 / H_0 \), represents the flow-induced stiffness. This term is generally the most dominant term of the three, especially at large flow velocities. Note that the matrix \( Q_0 \) is asymmetric, mainly due to the imposed flow separation at the superior edge (the second term in the equation for \( p_0(h) \) which is nonzero). We will show this feature of the flow-induced stiffness matrix to be an essential component of phonation onset. The second term in Eq. (16) is a first-order term in time, which breaks the time reversibility of the equation, and scales with \( p_0 U_0 \), corresponding to the flow-induced damping. Under certain conditions, the \( Q_1 \) matrix may introduce negative damping and be destabilizing, as discussed in Sec. III.D. The last term, scaling with \( p_0 H_0 \), represents the added-mass effect, and is always present even for zero flow velocity.

C. Ritz method

In this study, the Ritz method was used to solve Eq. (9). The displacement field of the vocal fold structure was approximated as

\[
\xi(x, z, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{K} A_{ik}(t) x^k z, \quad \eta(x, z, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{K} B_{ik}(t) x^k z .
\]

(19)

The generalized coordinates were therefore defined as

\[
[q_r] = [A_{10}, A_{11}, \ldots, A_{1K}, B_{10}, \ldots, B_{1K}] x^{L \times (K+1)} .
\]

(20)

In terms of the generalized coordinates, the fluctuating glottal width was

\[
h = -2 \xi \left| \frac{\dot{q}_{\text{FSI}}}{\xi_{\text{FSI}}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{K} A_{ik}(t) x^k z \right| \frac{\dot{q}_{\text{FSI}}}{\xi_{\text{FSI}}}
\]

(21)

where \( x = f(z) \) describes the prephonatory fluid-structure interface up to the point of flow separation.

D. Linear stability analysis

Substitution of Eq. (18) into Eq. (9) yields

\[
(M - Q_2) q + (C - Q_1) \ddot{q} + (K - Q_0) \dddot{q} = 0 .
\]

(22)

The equation can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{q} \\
\ddot{q} \\
\dddot{q}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & I \\
-(M - Q_2)^{-1}(K - Q_0) & -(M - Q_2)^{-1}(C - Q_1)
\end{bmatrix}
\times
\begin{bmatrix}
q \\
\dot{q}
\end{bmatrix} ,
\]

(23)

where \( I \) is the identity matrix.

Assuming \( q = q_0 e^{i\omega t} \), the equation is solved as an eigenvalue problem for the eigenvalues \( \omega \) and eigenmodes, \( q_0 \). Each eigenvalue corresponds to an eigenmode of the coupled fluid-structure system. To differentiate these eigenmodes from the \textit{in vacuo} modes of the vocal fold structure, they will be referred to as FSI eigenmodes throughout the rest of the paper. The real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalue correspond to the growth rate and the oscillation frequency of the eigenmode, respectively. A positive growth rate indicates that the amplitude will increase with time, indicative of a linearly unstable eigenmode. The mean state of the coupled system is linearly stable if all eigenvalues have negative growth rates. Thus, phonation onset for a particular vocal fold configuration can be studied by solving the eigenvalue problem over a range of subglottal pressures. The phonation threshold pressure would be the subglottal pressure at which the real part
of one eigenvalue first becomes positive. The vibration pattern of the vocal folds at onset would then be given by the corresponding eigenvector.

Note that the mean state \((U_0, P_0, H_0)\) of the coupled system, around which the system equations are linearized, is a function of subglottal pressure. Therefore, for a given subglottal pressure, a nonlinear steady-state problem has to be solved to determine the mean equilibrium state of the coupled system before conducting linear stability analysis around this mean state. For simplicity, the step was skipped in this study and the linear stability analysis was performed on a given mean deformed vocal fold geometry. The mean equilibrium state of the vocal fold was assumed to remain unchanged as the subglottal pressure was increased. With this simplification, the analysis in this study focused on the linear stability of a given pre-phonatory vocal fold geometry.

Note that our model formulation is based on linear theory and therefore does not apply beyond onset. To study the behavior of the system beyond onset, the original nonlinear equations would need to be solved (see, e.g., Holmes, 1977; Guckenheimer and Holmes, 1983).

**E. FSI mode decomposition using PCA**

Generally the eigenvectors of Eq. (23) are complex. Together with the time dependent factor, \(e^{it}\), a complex eigenvector describes a wave motion along the vocal fold surface, i.e., the vibration of the vocal fold along the FSI interface has no fixed nodal lines. This wave motion can be decomposed into two standing wave components. For the convenience of physical interpretation and direct comparison between different cases, it is desirable to find a consistent decomposition method that can decompose each of the FSI eigenmodes into two spatially and temporally orthogonal components: a FSI-1 mode and a FSI-2 mode. By definition, the FSI-1 mode captured the largest percentage of the total energy of the original FSI eigenmode. PCA gives an optimal and consistent decomposition: the strongest mode (the FSI-1 mode) obtained using PCA captures more energy than that of any other decomposition (Holmes et al., 1996). The use of PCA would also make it possible to compare the results of this study to previous experimental work in which empirical eigenfunctions of the vocal fold medial surface dynamics were calculated.

For a complex eigenvector \(q\), a matrix could be formed by combining the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvector \(q\)

\[
X = [\text{Re}(q), \text{Im}(q)]
\]

from which a covariance matrix was calculated

\[
\text{Cov}(X) = X^T MX,
\]

where the superscript \(T\) denotes the transpose of the matrix. Eigenvalue analysis of the covariance matrix yields two PCA eigenvalues \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) and eigenvectors \((\Psi_1, \Psi_2)\). The two eigenvectors provide an orthonormal basis consisting of two vectors whose relative energy weights are given by the relative values of the corresponding eigenvalues. The original eigenvector \(q\) can then be projected onto the two orthonormal vectors, giving the final form of the FSI-1 and FSI-2 modes

\[
q_{\text{FSI-1}} = \langle q, \Psi_1 \rangle \Psi_1, \quad q_{\text{FSI-2}} = \langle q, \Psi_2 \rangle \Psi_2,
\]

where the angular bracket denotes a vector dot product, which is defined as

\[
\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = v_1^T M v_2,
\]

where the superscript \(\ast\) denotes complex conjugate, the superscript \(T\) denotes transpose, and \(M\) is the mass matrix of the vocal fold structure. With this definition, the orthogonality of two vectors is based on the entire vocal fold volume rather than just the medial surface of the vocal folds as implemented in previous laboratory experiments (Berry et al., 2001, 2006).

**F. Numerical convergence**

Figure 2 shows the eigenvalues of Eq. (23) as a function of the order of the matrices in Eq. (23). Values of the model parameters specified in Eq. (28) were used, with a loss factor of 0.1. The order of the matrices in Eq. (23) equals \(4 \times I \times (K+1)\). \(I=K=3, 4, 5,\) and 6 for the four sets of data shown.

---

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) The frequencies and growth rates of the first six eigenvalues (○: first; □: second; △: third; ▲: fourth; ▼: fifth; ⬤: sixth) of Eq. (23) as a function of the matrix orders of Eq. (23). The values of model parameters specified in Eq. (28) were used, with a loss factor of 0.1. The order of the matrices in Eq. (23) equals \(4 \times I \times (K+1)\). \(I=K=3, 4, 5,\) and 6 for the four sets of data shown.
Next, the flow-induced stiffness remained the primary mechanism of phonation onset. At moderate and high geometries did flow-induced damping become the primary mechanism of phonation onset, involving the excitation and synchronization of these three individual terms will be investigated systematically. Through an analysis of the energy flow and the evolution of the eigenvalues, we will show that the flow-induced stiffness was the primary aerodynamic mechanism of phonation onset, involving the excitation and synchronization of two structural eigenmodes. Only under conditions of negligible structural damping and a restricted set of vocal fold geometries did flow-induced damping become the primary mechanism of phonation onset. At moderate and high structural damping, flow-induced stiffness remained the primary aerodynamic mechanism of phonation onset.

For the results presented below, unless otherwise stated, the following nondimensional values for the model parameters were used (see definition in Fig. 1):

\[ D = 1.4, \quad g = 0.0714, \quad T_{inf} = 1, \quad \rho_f = 0.0012, \quad \nu = 0.47, \]

which corresponded to the following physical values (the first four are the scaling variables):

\[ T = 7 \text{ mm}, \quad \rho_{eff} = 1030 \text{ kg/m}^3, \quad E = 3 \text{ kPa}, \]
\[ U_{\text{scaling}} = 1.7 \text{ m/s}, \quad D = 10 \text{ mm}, \quad g = 0.5 \text{ mm}, \]
\[ T_{\text{inf}} = 7 \text{ mm}, \quad \rho_f = 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^3, \quad \nu = 0.47. \]

A. In vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold

The in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold can be obtained by solving Eq. (6) with the right hand side of the equation set to zero. Since no first-order term in time exists in Eq. (6) and both the mass and stiffness matrices are positive definite, the eigenspectrum in this case lies on the imaginary axis, i.e., the growth rates of all eigenvalues are zero. Figure 3 shows the first three in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold. The corresponding eigenfrequencies were 0.102, 0.214, and 0.239 (or 25, 52.2, and 58.2 Hz in physical units for model parameters given in Eq. (29)). The first in vacuo eigenmode captured a dominant in-phase vertical motion along the vocal fold medial surface with a weak lateral motion near the superior edge. The second eigenmode captured a dominant in-phase lateral motion along the medial surface. Note that the maximum lateral displacement of the vocal fold along the medial surface occurred at the superior edge. The third eigenmode, in contrast to the first two modes, captured an out-of-phase lateral motion along the vocal fold medial surface. Note that, for the third eigenmode, a nodal line of the lateral displacement existed near the superior edge of the vocal fold. These major features of the first three in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold structure are consistent with previous studies (Titze and Strong, 1975; Berry and Titze, 1996).

B. Mechanisms of phonation onset: Flow-induced stiffness

Next we added the flow terms, the matrix \( Q \) (Eq. (18)), to the system. For the case of phonation, in which airflow interacts with the vocal fold structure, the density ratio between the flow and structure is very small \((\rho_f \approx 10^{-3})\), Eq. (28). Therefore, for not so large jet velocities, the flow pressure term \( Q \) is generally small compared to the structural mass and stiffness terms in Eq. (22). In particular, the last two terms \((Q_1 \text{ and } Q_2)\) in Eq. (18) can be neglected and only the flow stiffness term, \( Q_0 \), is retained. In the following, we show first the results obtained when only the flow stiffness term was included. This condition is analogous to the linear stability analysis of Ishizaka and colleagues for the two-mass model in which only the flow-induced stiffness was considered (e.g., see pp. 52 and 53 of Ishizaka and Matsuadaira, 1972; pp. 233, 238 of Ishizaka, 1981). The other terms in Eq. (16) will be included in subsequent sections of this paper.

1. Eigenmode synchronization

With only the \( Q_0 \) term included and structural damping neglected, Eq. (22) becomes

\[ M\ddot{q} + (K - Q_0)q = 0. \]  

Equation (30) represents a competition between two mechanisms. One is the stabilizing structural restorative forces, the structural stiffness \( K \), and the other is the destabilizing mechanism due to the flow-induced stiffness, \( Q_0 \). The solution of Eq. (30) depends on the structure of the flow-induced matrix \( Q_0 \). For a symmetric \( Q_0 \) matrix, the system loses stability to a static divergence instability (zero frequency instability). This occurs when the flow stiffness term exceeds the structural stiffness term. However, for an asymmetric \( Q_0 \) matrix (e.g., due to a nonconservative system), the asymmetric nondiagonal elements in matrix \( Q_0 \) induce a cross-mode coupling effect, causing two neighboring eigenvalues to approximate each other and eventually collide. When this coupling effect is strong, the system loses stability to a coupled-mode flutter instability (Weaver, 1974; Holmes, 1977; Auregan and...
is shown in Fig. 5.

The model was mainly due to the imposed flow separation of the first eigenmode, rather than to a coupled-mode flutter mechanism. Therefore, in this case, onset occurred via a 1:1 resonance of the second and third eigenvalues. The asymmetric nature of the matrix $Q_0$ in our model was mainly due to the imposed flow separation (see Eqs. (8) and (17)). For a potential flow formulation without flow separation, the matrix $Q_0$ would be nearly symmetric, and Eq. (30) would lose stability first to a static divergence rather than to a coupled-mode flutter instability. In fact, coupled-mode flutter is facilitated by any factor or mechanism which makes the matrix $Q_0$ asymmetric. For example, our simulations showed that coupled-mode flutter also occurred first for Eq. (30) for sufficiently large viscous flow resistance but without flow separation.

The structural restorative force in the vocal folds scales with $\omega_0^2 g$, where $\omega_0$ is the in vacuo eigenfrequency. The flow-induced stiffness term, and therefore the coupling strength, roughly scales with $\rho_f U_j^2 g$. Therefore, a balance between the structural stiffness and the flow-induced stiffness yields the following expressions or proportionalities for the jet velocity and subglottal pressure at onset:

$$U_j \sim \frac{\omega_0}{\rho_f} \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{g}} \quad P_{0,in} \sim \omega_0 g.$$  

The in vacuo eigenfrequency $\omega_0$ is a function of the material properties of the vocal folds, vocal fold geometry, and boundary conditions (Berry and Titze, 1996; Cook and Mongeau, 2007).

2. Vocal fold vibration at onset

The eigenmode with the largest growth rate, or the critical eigenmode, was analyzed to investigate vocal fold vibration characteristics at onset. The phonation frequency, or the imaginary part of the eigenvalue of the critical eigenmode, was in between the second and third in vacuo eigenfrequencies, slightly closer to the second in vacuo eigenfrequency, as shown in Fig. 4(a). The corresponding complex eigenvector was decomposed into two spatially and temporally orthogonal FSI modes, as described in Sec. II E. The vibration pattern of the vocal fold for each FSI mode can be obtained by...
substituting the corresponding eigenvector into Eq. (19), and is shown in Fig. 6.

The dominant FSI mode, FSI-1, captured an out-of-phase lateral motion and an equally strong in-phase vertical motion along the medial surface. The second FSI mode, FSI-2, captured a dominant in-phase lateral motion and a relatively weak vertical motion along the medial surface. Neither of the FSI modes closely resembled the in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold. In fact, the FSI-1 and FSI-2 were to some degree similar to the third and second in vacuo eigenmodes with the vibration pattern (within the entire vocal fold volume) shifted inferiorly, respectively. For example, in the FSI-1 mode, a horizontal (medial-lateral) nodal line of the lateral displacement (x component) of the vocal fold existed around the center of the superior section \( z > 0 \) of vocal fold in the inferior-superior direction, as compared to the nodal line near the superior edge for the third in vacuo eigenmode of the vocal fold (Fig. 3). In the FSI-2 mode, the maximum lateral displacement along the medial surface occurred around the center of the superior section of the vocal fold surface, shifted inferiorly from its location at the superior edge for the second in vacuo eigenmode. To quantify the similarity between the FSI modes and the in vacuo modes, correlations (vector dot product) between the two FSI modes and the in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold were calculated based on Eq. (27). The correlations between the FSI-1 mode and the first three in vacuo eigenmodes were 31.3%, 69.1%, and 65.1%, respectively. For the FSI-2 mode, its correlations with the first three in vacuo eigenmodes were 13.5%, 64.75%, and 75.0%, respectively. The correlation between the two FSI modes and higher-order in vacuo eigenmodes was nearly zero so that both FSI modes could be reproduced by a combination of the first three in vacuo eigenmodes with an accuracy above 99%.
At onset, the relative energy weights \((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\) of the FSI-1 and FSI-2 modes were 99.9% and 0.1%, respectively, which indicates that the vocal fold vibration was essentially a single-mode, standing wave motion rather than a traveling wave motion. Ideally, at the exact point of onset, all the eigenvalues of Eq. (30) are still on the imaginary axis, including the colliding pair. The eigenvectors corresponding to the colliding pair of eigenvalues would be real. In this case without structural damping, the space spanned by the two FSI modes is reduced to a one-dimensional space, i.e., a single mode rather than a traveling wave motion. The relative energy weights of the two FSI modes would be 100% and 0%, representing a single-mode vibration pattern. Our numerical analysis did not catch the exact onset point so that the relative weights deviated from the exact theoretical values. When structural damping is included, as shown later in Sec. III B 4, the onset would be delayed until after the eigenvalue collision. The eigenvector of the critical eigenmode would therefore be complex, describing a traveling wave as generated by the superposition of two FSI modes. In the complex full plane, this eigenmode corresponded to a complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues, with a complex conjugate pair of eigenvectors. The space spanned by the two FSI modes is therefore two-dimensional. The weight of the FSI-1 mode would decrease from 100% and two FSI modes would then be needed to describe the vocal fold vibration. With increasing structural damping, the two eigenvectors will further deviate away from each other, leading to more comparable weights for the two FSI modes (Sec. III B 4).

3. Energy transfer

Figure 7 shows the vocal fold velocity and pressure distribution along the medial surface of the vocal fold for the two FSI modes slightly above onset. For both modes, the pressure was 90° out of phase with the velocity (one was nearly pure real and the other was nearly pure imaginary) except for a very small in-phase component. The first FSI mode, FSI-1, captured an out-of-phase lateral motion along the medial surface, creating an alternating convergent/divergent glottis near the superior part of the glottal channel \((0 < z < 1)\). Due to the zero pressure boundary condition at the superior edge of the glottal channel, this motion created an alternating in-phase increase/decrease in the pressure along the glottal channel. On the other hand, the FSI-2 mode induced an out-of-phase pressure distribution along the glottal channel.

From the distribution of the vocal fold velocity along the medial surface and the pressure along the glottal channel, the energy flow from the fluid into the structure was calculated as

---

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) The vocal fold velocity in medial-lateral and inferior-superior directions and the flow pressure along the vocal fold surface corresponding to the FSI-1 and FSI-2 modes as shown in Fig. (6). —-: real part; – –: imaginary part.
In Eq. (28), $Q=Q_d$, and $\sigma=0$, slightly above onset. See Eqs. (32) and (33) for the definition of different energy terms.

$$E_{total} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p^{*}_x n_x + p^{*}_y n_y) |_{FSI} = E_{11} + E_{12} + E_{21} + E_{22},$$

(32)

where

$$E_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p^{*}_{fsi-1} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-1} n_x + p^{*}_{fsi-1} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-1} n_y) |_{FSI},$$

$$E_{12} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p^{*}_{fsi-1} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-2} n_x + p^{*}_{fsi-1} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-2} n_y) |_{FSI},$$

$$E_{21} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p^{*}_{fsi-2} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-1} n_x + p^{*}_{fsi-2} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-1} n_y) |_{FSI},$$

$$E_{22} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(p^{*}_{fsi-2} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-2} n_x + p^{*}_{fsi-2} \dot{h}^{*}_{fsi-2} n_y) |_{FSI}. $$

In Eq. (32), the total energy flow is decomposed into two self-mode interaction terms and two cross-mode interaction terms. Figure 8 shows the distribution of the total energy flow along the glottal channel. In this case, energy flow was negative along the inferior part of the glottal channel ($z < 0$), indicating that energy was transferred from the structure to the fluid. In the superior part of the glottal channel ($z > 0$), energy flow was reversed and energy was transferred from the fluid into the structure at a slightly greater rate than that at which energy was extracted from the inferior vocal fold structure. In total a net positive energy transfer was established from the fluid into the vocal fold structure. Figure 8 also shows the individual contributions of the self-mode and cross-mode interaction between the two FSI modes. The contributions of the two self-mode interaction terms were small, as expected for a pressure field nearly 90° out of phase with the velocity. Of the two cross-mode terms, $E_{12}$ was the most dominant term, which represented the interaction between the pressure field induced by the out-of-phase FSI-1 mode and the velocity field induced by the in-phase FSI-2 mode. Integrated along the glottal channel, the four energy terms ($E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}$) contributed 1.14%, 83.71%, 15.14%, and 0.01% to the total energy flow, respectively.

The above analysis further clarified the onset mechanism from the point of view of energy transfer. As discussed in Sec. III B 2, a major difference between the eigenvalues before and after onset is that the complex eigenvalues after onset resulted in complex eigenvectors, describing a wave motion or the synchronization of two FSI modes at precisely the same frequency. Without this synchronization, the energy flow would be zero as the pressure induced by the same mode would be always 90° out of phase with the vocal fold velocity. It is only because of the synchronization of two modes that the total pressure field had an in-phase component with the total vocal fold velocity field (Fig. 7), no matter how small the FSI-2 mode was in amplitude compared to the FSI-1 mode. In particular, the most effective energy transfer contribution came from the interaction between the pressure field of the FSI-1 mode (capturing an out-of-phase lateral motion) with the velocity field of the FSI-2 mode (capturing an in-phase lateral motion). This is consistent with previous understanding (Ishizaka, 1981, 1988; Titze, 1988; Berry and Titze, 1996) that two eigenmodes of the vocal fold vibration “entrained” to create favorable aerodynamic conditions for phonation: one eigenmode shapes the top of the glottal airway to be alternately convergent/divergent during one glottal oscillation cycle, therefore modulating the intraglottal pressure, while the other eigenmode governs the net lateral tissue velocity and modulates the flow velocity. The two eigenmodes interacted to facilitate energy transfer from the airflow to the vocal fold tissue, as shown in Fig. 8.

Since no damping terms (either structural or flow induced) were included in the present example, the energy flow at onset must be identically zero rather than nonzero, as in the above energy analysis. However, since the eigenvalue problem (Eq. (30)) was solved numerically in this study, the exact point of onset was not captured. Therefore, the onset jet velocity as identified in this study was always slightly larger. While our model formulation no longer applies after onset, it will be shown below that the general conclusions (the vibration patterns of the two FSI modes and energy transfer) made in this subsection still hold for cases with nonzero structural damping, in which a nonzero energy flow was present to balance the structural dissipation.

### 4. Effects of structural damping

Inclusion of structural damping shifted the eigenspectrum of the system to the left in the complex half plane, as shown in Fig. 5(b) for the same set of model parameters but with a loss factor of $\sigma=0.1$. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) show the corresponding frequency and growth rate of the first three eigenmodes. The physical mechanism of onset remained the same: two eigenvalues approached each other and then separated again, one of which eventually crossed the imaginary axis as the jet velocity continued to increase, causing the
corresponding eigenmode to become linearly unstable. However, in contrast to the case of Fig. 5, the two eigenvalues in Fig. 5 approached but never actually collided with each other. Note the similarity between Figs. 4 and 5 of Ishizaka, 1981, p. 238. Using two different computational models, both figures have apparently captured the same physical mechanism.

Figure 9 shows the correlations between the two FSI modes and the first four in vacuo eigenmodes as a function of the loss factor. Both FSI modes were a mixture of mainly the first three in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal folds, with negligible correlations with the fourth and higher in vacuo eigenmodes (Figs. 9(a) and 9(b)). For small and moderate structural damping, the vocal fold vibration patterns captured by the two FSI modes were qualitatively similar to the case without structural damping. The FSI-1 mode captured an out-of-phase lateral motion, with a nodal line along the center of the medial surface, and an in-phase vertical motion along the medial surface of the vocal fold. The FSI-2 mode captured a dominant, in-phase lateral motion with a weak, in-phase vertical motion along the vocal fold medial surface. As the loss factor increased, the correlation with the third in vacuo eigenmode slightly decreased (increased) for the FSI-1 (FSI-2) mode. For the FSI-1 mode, this caused the nodal line of the lateral displacement to continuously shift inferiorly. For the FSI-2 mode, this caused the location of the maximum lateral displacement along the medial surface to shift inferiorly. There was a threshold value of the structural loss factor (around $\eta=0.25$) at which the vibration patterns captured by the two FSI modes became qualitatively similar. Beyond this threshold, a switchover occurred in the vibration patterns captured by the two FSI modes. The FSI-1 mode now captured an in-phase lateral motion while the FSI-2 mode captured an out-of-phase lateral motion.

Figure 10 shows the onset jet velocity and onset frequency as a function of the loss factor, for model parameters specified by Eq. (28). The onset frequency in this case slightly decreased with the loss factor. With structural damping included, more energy transfer was needed to overcome the structural dissipation, delaying onset to a higher value of the jet velocity. This additional energy transfer was achieved by the increasing relative weight of the FSI-2 mode with the loss factor (Fig. 9(c)). With more comparable weights of the two FSI modes, the total flow pressure became more in phase with the vocal fold velocity, which increased the energy transfer efficiency and allowed more energy transfer to overcome structural dissipation.

The energy transfer mechanisms remained similar to the case without structural damping. Except for ranges of loss factors in which the two FSI modes became similar, the ma-
A. Direct destabilization of the coupled fluid-structure system

It breaks the time reversal symmetry of Eq. (5). Depending on the structure of the matrix \( \mathbf{Q} \), the second and third eigenvalues in the complex half plane are shown in Fig. 11(c). The other cross-mode interaction term also positively contributed to the total energy flow. The contributions from the same-mode interaction were negligible.

C. Effects of flow-induced inertia

As discussed in Sec. II B, the flow-induced inertia term, \( p_2 \), is the weakest term of the three parts in Eq. (16), due to the small density ratio between air and the vocal fold. When included, the eigenspectrum remained nearly the same as when it was excluded, with only slight changes in the frequencies. The difference was nearly unnoticeable except for very small glottal half widths, which would change the relative weight of the flow-induced inertia term with respect to the structural mass term (Eq. (17)).

D. Effects of flow-induced damping

1. Destabilization effects

The flow-induced damping term, \( p_1 \), is a first-order term in time. It breaks the time reversal symmetry of Eq. (30), and, depending on the structure of the matrix \( \mathbf{Q} \), may directly destabilize the coupled fluid-structure system. Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show the first three eigenvalues as a function of increasing jet velocity. The model parameters were the same as in Sec. III A. (Eq. (28), and zero structural damping), but with all three flow terms, \( Q_0 \), \( Q_1 \), and \( Q_2 \) included in Eq. (22). The corresponding movement of the second and third eigenvalues in the complex half plane is shown in Fig. 5(c). Unexpectedly we found that the second eigenmode immediately became unstable when a nonzero flow was applied, while at the same time the first and third eigenmodes were stabilized. In other words, the onset jet velocity was infinitesimally small.

This seemingly unlikely result was due to the presence of an irreversible perturbation (the flow-induced damping term) in an otherwise reversible system. The irreversible perturbation introduces a first-order term in time whose matrix coefficient, the flow damping term \( Q_2 \), in our case, is no longer positive definite so that destabilization occurs. In this case, a negative damping was introduced in the second eigenmode and a positive damping in the first and third eigenmodes (Fig. 11(b)). Due to this destabilization effect, the onset of the perturbed system would in general be lower than in the unperturbed system. In our case, the onset jet velocity was decreased to an infinitesimally small number. The destabilization of reversible systems due to irreversible perturbations has been extensively investigated (e.g., Koudadis, 1992; O’Reilly et al., 1996; Kirillov, 2005). O’Reilly et al. (1996) examined the destabilization of the equilibria of a reversible system when an infinitesimally small first derivative term in time (the flow damping term in our case) was introduced to the system. Based on the matrix invariants of the system governing equations, they established the necessary and sufficient conditions for a two degree-of-freedom system to lose linear stability due to the addition of an irreversible perturbation. Using similar criteria, the eigenvalue movement as shown in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b) can be predicted, i.e., one eigenmode was destabilized while the other stabilized.

For this example without structural damping (Figs. 11(a), 11(b), and 5(c)), since the second eigenmode was destabilized at an infinitesimally small flow velocity, the eigenmodes interacted minimally with each other so that the critical eigenmode was almost the same as the corresponding in vacuo eigenmode of the vocal fold structure. Indeed, a close examination showed that the two FSI modes at a very small jet velocity (which is of course slightly beyond onset) were highly similar to the third and the second in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal fold structure, respectively. In fact, the correlation between the FSI-1 mode and the second in vacuo eigenmode was above 99%, and the FSI-2 mode was 84% and 53% correlated with the third and first in vacuo eigenmodes, respectively. In addition, the FSI-1 mode captured...
3. Effects of structural damping

The destabilizing effect of the flow-induced damping was suppressed when structural damping was included to the coupled system. Figures 11(e) and 11(f) show the frequency and growth rate as a function of the jet velocity for the same case as in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b) but with a loss factor of 0.1. The corresponding movement of the second and third eigenvalues in the complex half plane is shown in Fig. 5(d). When a nonzero flow was applied, the second and third eigenvalues started in opposite directions, under the influence of the flow-induced damping. As the jet velocity increased, the two eigenvalues eventually reversed directions under the influence of the flow stiffness term. The system remained stable until the jet velocity reached a finite value. As the jet velocity further increased, the flow stiffness term dominated and eventually destabilized the coupled system (onset). Although a relatively large loss factor (0.1) was used in this example, a much smaller loss factor would also suppress the destabilizing effect of the flow-induced damping, as discussed later. For moderate and high structural damping, the effects of the flow-induced damping on phonation onset became secondary, and the onset was again primarily determined by the properties of the flow-induced stiffness matrix, as discussed in Sec. III B.

However, similar to that of the structural damping (Sec. III B 4) but to a much larger extent, the presence of the flow damping term destroyed the interaction between the second and third eigenvalues, which would have collided on the imaginary axis and led to onset as in Sec. III B. Phonation onset occurred well before the eigenvalues sufficiently approximated each other (compare with Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)). In fact, the two eigenvalues only minimally approached each other in the complex half plane and never collided.

Figure 10 shows the onset jet velocity and onset frequency (denoted by square symbols) as a function of the loss factor for the model parameters specified by Eq. (28), with all three terms of the flow pressure included in Eq. (22). At a very small value of the loss factor, the onset jet velocity jumped from an infinitesimally small one (not shown in Fig. 10) to finite values (about 3). After that, the onset jet velocity increased smoothly with increasing loss factor, as in the case when only flow stiffness was included. Compared to the case with only the flow stiffness term included, two regions of the loss factor can be identified. For loss factors smaller than about 0.06, the onset jet velocity was lowered when the flow damping was added due to the destabilizing effect of the flow damping term. For loss factors larger than 0.06, the onset jet velocity was higher when the flow damping term was included. Obviously, the flow-induced damping changed from destabilizing to stabilizing at large structural damping.

Figure 13 shows the correlations between the two FSI modes and the first four in vacuo eigenmodes, the relative energy weights of the two FSI modes, and the energy flow decomposition as a function of the loss factor, for cases in which all three terms of the flow pressure were included in Eq. (22). Similar observations can be made as those in Sec. III B 4. Both FSI modes were a mixture of the first three in vacuo eigenmodes of the vocal folds. For small and moderate structural damping, the FSI-1 mode captured an out-of-

2. Structure of the \( Q_1 \) matrix

The destabilization effect is highly dependent on the structure of the flow damping term \( Q_1 \), which is again dependent on many factors such as the vocal fold geometry. For example, Figs. 11(c) and 11(d) show the frequency and growth rate as a function of the jet velocity for a case with the same conditions as the case in Figs. 11(a) and 11(b), except the length of the entrance section \( z < 0 \) of the glottal channel \( T_{inf} \) was increased from 1 to 1.5. The first three eigenmodes were all stabilized when the flow was applied, and the system was now linearly stable. Figure 12 shows the onset jet velocity and frequency as a function of the length of the entrance section \( T_{inf} \). A threshold value of \( T_{inf} \) (about 1.4) existed above which the onset jet velocity jumped to a finite number. Beyond this threshold, the flow-induced damping became stabilizing so that the system was no longer linearly unstable at very small jet velocities (Fig. 11(d)). Correspondingly, the critical eigenvalue changed from the second to the third eigenvalue, as shown in Fig. 12. Note that the onset jet velocity for values of \( T_{inf} \) above the threshold was still much lower than it would have been had the flow-induced damping term been excluded, as discussed further below.

FIG. 12. (Color online) Onset jet velocity (top) and frequency (bottom) as a function of the length of the entrance section \( T_{inf} \) for model parameters given in Eq. (28), \( Q = Q_{dij} + Q_{ij} + Q_{dij} \) for \( \sigma = 0 \). The two solid lines indicate the second and third in vacuo eigenfrequencies.

more than 99% of the total energy. As expected, the critical eigenmode was essentially the second in vacuo eigenmode with nearly zero contribution from the other in vacuo eigenmodes. The pressure field in the glottal channel and the vocal fold velocity field along the medial surface showed that, for both FSI modes, the pressure fields were in phase with the velocity fields so that the self-mode interaction due to the FSI-1 mode (due to its 99% weight) dominated the total energy flow. In other words, the destabilizing effect of the flow-induced damping is a one-mode instability (the second in vacuo eigenmode destabilized by flow-induced damping), as compared to the synchronization of two eigenmodes in Sec. III B.
phase lateral motion, while the FSI-2 mode captured an in-phase lateral motion. The vibration patterns changed around $/\gamma=0.25$ so that, for higher structural damping, the FSI-1 captured an in-phase lateral motion while the FSI-2 mode captured an out-of-phase motion. The value of the loss factor at the switchover was not much different from the case when only the flow stiffness term was included. As the structural damping increased, the relative weight of the FSI-1 mode decreased while that of the FSI-2 mode increased. Note that for moderate to high structural damping, the relative weights of the two FSI modes (about 70% and 30%, respectively) were similar to those of the surface-based empirical eigenfunctions observed in laboratory experiments (Berry et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2006b). Concerning the energy transfer mechanism, as the structural damping increased, the pattern of energy flow decomposition approached that of the case when only flow stiffness was included. That is, the dominant contribution to the total energy transfer came from the interaction between the pressure field induced by the out-of-phase FSI mode and the vocal fold motion of the in-phase FSI mode.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this study, the primary mechanism of phonation onset was flow-induced stiffness, rather than flow-induced damping. In particular, the asymmetric nature of the flow-induced stiffness matrix induced two neighboring eigenvalues to approximate each other and eventually merge, initiating phonation onset. In this study, the asymmetry of the flow-induced stiffness matrix was mainly caused by flow separation. However, such an asymmetry may also be induced by other non-conservative mechanisms such as viscous flow resistance. Only under conditions of negligible structural damping and a restricted set of vocal fold geometries did flow-induced damping become the primary mechanism of phonation. At moderate to high structural damping, although phonation onset was not directly related to an eigenmode-synchronization, the primary mechanism of phonation onset remained to be the synchronization effect of the flow-induced stiffness.

Some of the initial results of this investigation closely parallel the linear stability analysis of the two-mass model performed by Ishizaka and colleagues (Ishizaka and Matsumdaira, 1972; Ishizaka, 1981, 1988), particularly with respect to the role of the flow-induced stiffness term in facilitating eigenmode synchronization and initiating phonation. These studies underscore the necessity of retaining at least two degrees-of-freedom in lumped-element models of phonation. For a single degree-of-freedom system (e.g., the one-mass model or any degenerate two-mass model), eigenmode synchronization mechanism is excluded a priori. For example,
in the one-mass model, the only mechanism of phonation relies on externally introduced damping (e.g., by coupling to an acoustic resonance). Without externally introduced damping, only a static divergence instability (due to the interaction between the single eigenvalue with its complex conjugate) is possible in a single degree-of-freedom system.

It is difficult to compare the results of the present investigation with results of Titze (1988). In part, this is because the present study used a self-oscillating, two-dimensional, fluid-structure interaction system, while Titze (1988) used a two degree-of-freedom system with prescribed phase relationship between the two eigenmodes. Therefore, while Titze (1988) investigated the consequences of eigenmode synchronization, he failed to investigate the mechanisms of eigenmode synchronization. In particular, Titze (1988) showed that the synchronization of two structural eigenmode produced an effective negative damping term in the resulting single degree-of-freedom system. However, this concept of negative damping provides no insight regarding how eigenmode synchronization might be initiated and facilitated by the aeroelastic properties of the coupled fluid-structure interaction system. Furthermore, the concept of modeling eigenmode synchronization as an effective negative damping, similar to the flow-induced damping introduced by suband supra-glottal acoustics (Titze, 1988; Zhang et al., 2006a), may be misleading. Indeed, the present study shows that flow-induced stiffness, rather than flow-induced damping, was the primary mechanism of both phonation onset and eigenmode synchronization, except for one exceptional case.

For the results presented thus far, phonation onset generally occurred due to the synchronization of two closely spaced in vacuo eigenvalues. However, in other cases not reported in this study, the eigenvalues which ultimately synchronized were not necessarily closely spaced in the in vacuo state. For example, for a slightly different vocal fold geometry, as we varied the flow separation point superiorly along the vocal fold medial surface, the two eigenmodes which synchronized switched from the first and second eigenmodes, to the second and third, and then back to the first and second eigenmodes. Depending on the degree of asymmetry of the flow-induced stiffness matrix, the system sometimes lost stability to a static divergence. Future studies with more comprehensive evaluations over the entire phonatory range are needed to probe the influence of flow parameters (in particular flow separation location) on the movement of the eigenvalues and the factors determining which eigenmodes interact to induce phonation onset.

The linear stability analysis in this study was simplified by a few assumptions which should be relaxed in future studies. First of all, as mentioned in Sec. II, the steady-state problem of the coupled system should be solved for each subglottal pressure. This will give the deformed shape of the vocal folds and the glottal channel based on which the linear stability analysis is performed, and allows the effects of the mean deformation to be investigated. Second, the flow model used in this model was based on one-dimensional potential flow theory and viscous effects were mostly excluded. This means that the conclusions made in this study may not be valid for limiting conditions, such as vanishing structural damping and an extremely small glottal gap width. The one-dimensional flow description might over simplify the glottal flow dynamics, especially when coupled with a two-dimensional structure model. Other assumptions of the flow such as the fixed flow separation point and zero pressure recovery beyond flow separation should also be relaxed. A more realistic description of the flow, such as the Navier-Stokes equations, should be used instead. The linear stability analysis should then be repeated combining the Navier-Stokes flow with a continuum structure model. On the structural side, a major assumption made in this study was the linear stress-strain relationship of the vocal fold, a condition under which the mean stress and strain in the vocal fold structure can be neglected. When the nonlinearity of the vocal fold material properties is included, the equilibrium stress and strain of the vocal fold structure can no longer be neglected from the linearized equations and therefore have to be solved prior to the linear stability analysis. Of course, a nonlinear stress-strain relationship would also affect the equilibrium geometry of the vocal fold, around which the system equations are linearized.

The analysis of this study can be easily extended to a three-dimensional full vocal folds model so that asymmetric phenomena (asymmetry in the vibration pattern or asymmetry of the glottal flow, e.g., Neubauer et al., 2001, 2007) and three-dimensional effects can be studied. The subglottal and supra-glottal acoustics, which are known to be another mechanism of energy transfer, also can be included in the model by using appropriate impedance boundary conditions for the superior and inferior ends of the glottal channel (Sec. II B). These topics will be explored in future studies.

This study shows that the two FSI modes at onset can be expressed as a linear combination of the first four in vacuo eigenmodes with reasonable accuracy. The two FSI modes also exhibited a high correlation with the empirical eigenfunctions (EEFs) extracted from medial surface dynamics of the vocal folds (Appendix), indicating that the EEFs could be also expressed as a linear combination of a few in vacuo eigenmodes within certain accuracy. These eigenmodes (either the two FSI modes expressed in terms in vacuo eigenmodes, or the EEFs) may be used as basis functions for vocal fold modeling. Reduced order models can therefore be obtained for the vocal folds by projecting the governing equations of the vocal folds onto these basis functions. Further, by combining these structural eigenmodes with empirical eigenfunctions of the glottal flow, reduced order models may be developed for a coupled fluid-structure system of phonation (Dowell and Hall, 2001). These reduced order models would allow the system dynamics to be represented by a few dominant eigenmodes, and therefore greatly reduce the computational requirement, which makes it possible for these models to be used in practical applications (e.g., clinic voice diagnosis or prediction of voice surgery outcome).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated the linear stability of a two-dimensional continuum model of the vocal folds coupled with a potential glottal flow for a fixed flow separa-
tion point. We showed that the primary aerodynamic mechanism of phonation onset was the flow-induced stiffness, rather than flow-induced damping. The asymmetric nature of the flow-induced stiffness, caused by nonconservative factors such as flow separation or viscous flow resistance, induced a cross-mode coupling effect. Due to this coupling effect, two in vacuo eigenvalues of the vocal fold approximated each other in the complex plane and, at onset, collided with each other to produce an unstable eigenmode. The synchronization of the two eigenmodes provided a net energy transfer from the airflow to the vocal fold structure to overcome the structural dissipation. Only under conditions of negligible structural damping and a restricted set of vocal fold geometries did flow-induced damping become the primary mechanism of phonation onset. However, for moderate to high structural damping, the flow-induced stiffness remained the primary aerodynamic mechanism of phonation onset.
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APPENDIX: VOLUME-BASED AND SURFACE-BASED EIGENFUNCTIONS OF VOCAL FOLD VIBRATION

In this study the two spatially and temporally orthogonal FSI modes were extracted based on the vibration dynamics of the entire vocal fold volume. In previous experiments, empirical eigenfunctions (EEFs) were extracted from the observed motion of the vocal fold medial surface. The principal component analysis (PCA) was used in both methods of decomposition, but on data of different domain. The two FSI modes are volume-based eigenfunctions and orthogonal in terms of the whole vocal fold volume, while the EEFs are surface based and orthogonal only along the vocal fold surface. To investigate the possible correlations between these two methods of decomposition, a spatio-temporal dataset of the vocal fold displacement along the vocal fold surface was generated using the critical eigenvector at onset for one case with model parameters specified in Eq. (28) and with a loss factor of 0.1. Empirical eigenfunctions were then extracted from this surface displacement data using the principal component analysis. The first two EEFs were found to be enough to reproduce the whole dynamics, each capturing 87.8% and 12.2% of the total energy, respectively. These compare to the relative energy weights of 76.9% and 23.1% for the FSI-1 and the FSI-2 modes, respectively. Figure 14 compares the first two EEFs (left half of each subfigure) and the two FSI modes (right half in each subfigure). The EEFs were almost the same as the two FSI modes, except for a slight difference between the second EEF and the FSI-2 mode. These differences were further quantified by calculating the surface-based dot product (vector product in Eq. (27) without the $M$ matrix) between the two sets of eigenfunctions. The correlation between the FSI-1 mode and the first EEF was 99.9%, while the correlation between the FSI-2 mode and the second EEF was 98.1%. Although the two sets of eigenfunctions were generated based on different input data, they were highly similar in terms of vibration patterns and only slightly different in terms of relative energy weights. This high correlation is probably due to the fact that the vocal fold in this case was nearly incompressible ($\nu=0.47$, Eq. (28)).


FIG. 14. (Color online) Comparison between the two FSI modes and the first two EEFs of the vibration dynamics along the vocal fold surface. In each subfigure, the left half is the EEF while the right half is the FSI mode. —: equilibrium positions; —: maximum or minimum displacement of the eigenfunctions superimposed on the equilibrium projections. Model parameters given in Eq. (28). $Q = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_{12}, \sigma = 0.1$.